

Date: Wed, 3 Feb 93 04:30:25 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #30
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Wed, 3 Feb 93 Volume 93 : Issue 30

Today's Topics:

 (non)Consistency on Language...
 all of this closed repeater stuff
 How can a WA4xxx call belong to a novice?
 Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)
 Pres. Clinton speech via Packet?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 1 Feb 93 16:01:09 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!spool.mu.edu!olivea!charnel!sifon!
newsflash.concordia.ca!nsth.n.s.ca!psinnntp!psinnntp!arrl.org@network.UCSD.EDU
Subject: (non)Consistency on Language...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In rec.radio.amateur.policy, willis@cs.tamu.edu (Willis Marti) writes:

>In article <1070@arrl.org> jlbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) misses the
>point again and :
>[Brian's sarcastic comment deleted]
>>
>>"These damn new hams-
>
>Jon, I'm sure I won't be the only one to point this out, but with the
>holier than thou tone you took in previous postings, how can use you
>choose to use language above? You need to either apologize to Jay &
>say there is a place for such words, or admit that you don't practice
>what you preach.

>I worked a station in the novice roundup this past weekend with a 2 X 3
>WA4xxx type call. He was signing /N.
>How can this be? Back in the 70's before the current callsign structure
>was implemented, novices got WN calls which became WA, WB, or WD
>(depending on the call area) when they upgraded.
>So, how could this guy end up with a WA4 call and still be a novice?

Here is one from the online callbook:

Call-Sign: WA4ZZE Class: NOVICE
Real Name: LEONARD W PHILLIPS Birthday: AUG 27, 1935
Mailing Address: 1292 SE LAKE ST, LONGWOOD, FL 32750
Station Address: ON HWY 427 1 MI S OF 434, LONGWOOD, FL
Valid From: DEC 30, 1983 To: DEC 30, 1993
Records Last Processed: DEC 30, 1983

I guess the answer is that the FCC changed to the current callsign and class structure before they ran out of WA4xxx calls.

--Mark, W03M

Mark D. Phillips, Ph.D.	phillips@pennsy.med.jhu.edu
Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences	wo3m@wb3ffv.md.usa.noam
The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine	
600 N. Wolfe Street	410/955-9098
Baltimore, Maryland 21205	

Date: 3 Feb 1993 02:02:03 GMT
From: sun-barr!cs.utexas.edu!tamsun.tamu.edu!cs.tamu.edu!kurt@ames.arpa
Subject: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1070@arrl.org>, jbloom@arrl.org (Jon Bloom) writes:

|>
|> "These damn new hams--not a one of them could build a decent coherer!
|> Why, next thing you know they'll be letting 'em in without code and
|> then things will *really* go down the drain!"
|>
|> So, just how much experience is enough to be considered one of the
|> select few whose opinions count?
|>
|> Yeah, one of my conclusions was that Usenet was run for the benefit
|> of all of its participants, not just those who had been using it for
|> X years. My mistake.

NOW you're getting the swing of it, Jon!

Use the Force.

|> But *your* conclusion is mistaken, too. I'm wasn't talking about the
|> language used on Usenet, I was talking about the language--and the
|> thinking--used to discuss important issues *irrespective of the
|> medium.* That you--and Jay, since I believe it was he who renamed
|> this thread with its current subject--fail to grasp that demonstrates
|> your Usenet-biased view of communication.

That's m'boy!

--

Kurt Freiburger, wb5bbw kurt@cs.tamu.edu 409/847-8607 fax:409/847-8578
Dept. of Computer Science, Texas A&M University DoD #264: BMW R80/7 pilot
"We preserve our freedom using three boxes: ballot, jury, and cartridge."
*** Not an official document of Texas A&M University ***

Date: 3 Feb 93 01:25:53 GMT
From: eram!dave@midway.uchicago.edu
Subject: Pres. Clinton speech via Packet?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1kfnc3INNcjd@network.ucsd.edu>,
brian@ucsd.edu (Brian Kantor) writes:

| When testing high-speed packet radio data links, I have on occasion used
| both the bible and the complete works of Shakespeare as test text.
| Sometimes you need a lot of text to test with. Shakespeare is about 6
| megabytes, by the way.

Just curious; where are these texts on-line?

| A local station used to transmit the New Testament as code practice.
| Dunno why he stopped; perhaps he was struck by lightning.

Or possibly he was in violation, by transmitting religious material
(that is certainly the case in Australia).

--

Dave Horsfall (VK2KFU) VK2KFU @ VK2RWI.NSW.AUS.OC
dave@esi.COM.AU ...munari!esi.COM.AU!dave

Date: 3 Feb 1993 01:51:30 GMT
From: sun-barr!cs.utexas.edu!tamsun.tamu.edu!cs.tamu.edu!kurt@ames.arpa

References <1k95ndINNnsu@tamsun.tamu.edu>,
<Jan28.203419.33501@yuma.ACNS.ColoState.EDU>, <1k9q9fINNno@west.West.Sun.COM>
Subject : Re: Language on the net (was Re: Closed repeaters)

In article <1k9q9fINNno@west.West.Sun.COM>, flloyd@l1-a.West.Sun.COM (Fred Lloyd [Phoenix SE]) writes:

```
|> Jay, Kurt and Galen:  
|> Why don't you boys take this crap private.  Nobody else cares.  
|> -fred
```

So go away, Fred

$$| \rangle \quad \text{---}$$

```
|> [ Fred Lloyd, AA7BQ                                Fred.Lloyd@West.Sun.COM ]
```

```
|> [ Sun Microsystems,          Southwest Area Solaris Transition Manager ]
```

AA

Now, THAT speaks volumes!

— —

Kurt Freiberger, wb5bbw kurt@cs.tamu.edu 409/847-8607 fax:409/847-8578
Dept. of Computer Science, Texas A&M University DoD #264: BMW R80/7 pilot
"We preserve our freedom using three boxes: ballot, jury, and cartridge."

*** Not an official document of Texas A&M University ***

Date: Tue, 2 Feb 1993 03:07:38 GMT

From: usc!sdd.hp.com!swrinde!emory!gatech!concert!uvaarpa!murdoch!

livia.acs.Virginia.EDU!jeg7e@network.UCSD.EDU

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Jan30.004752.8147@anomaly.sbs.com>,

<1993Jan30.160622.4612@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU>,

<1kjm6uINN2sa@west.West.Sun.COM>p

Subject : Re: Net Control Signing for others on Net

In article <1kjm6uINN2sa@west.West.Sun.COM> flloyd@l1-a.West.Sun.COM (Fred Lloyd [Phoenix SE]) writes:

 γ

>In article <1993Jan30.160622.4612@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU>

jeg7e@livia.acs.Virginia.EDU (John E. Gefaell) writes:

>>In article <1993Jan30.004752.8147@anomaly.sbs.com> kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com

(Michael P. Deignan) writes:

>>>I always say:

>>>

```
>>><net-related stuff....> Back to net-control. KD1HZ.
```

>>>

```

>>>at the end of each of my net transmissions.
>>>
>>Thus repeated identification is not only unnecessary and silly, it is also
>>detrimental to the net.
>>
>
>Yes, to _your_ structured net. Not, however, to my open net.
>
>-fred
>

```

Absolutely. These are excellent points, and seem to compliment, rather than refute my (admittedly limited in perspective) reponse.

There are diferent procedures which are more appropriate for diferent nets and situations. I was speaking from an ARES/RACES perspective.

And I thank you gentlemen for balancing the information made available through this thread! :)

--

```

-----
| Jon Gefaell, Computer Systems Engineer \      /___ | SILENCE = DEATH
| Security and Technology Planning R&D    \    /   / | Homophobia is a
| I.T.C. Administrative Computing Services \  /   /  | Social Disease!
| The University, UVA. Carruthers Hall     \\/   /   | 73 de KD4CQY
~~~~~\~~~~~

```

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #30
